PATENT Expedited Procedure After Final Response Under 37 CFR 1.312

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

)

BRANDT ET AL.

T I		ý	Examiner	L. Wes	t
Appl. No.	10/074,970	)			
C C NI	(005	)	Art Unit 26	582	
Confirm. No.	6905	)	Atty. Dock	et No. C	S20456RI
Filed:	13 February 2002	)	ritty. Dock	Ct 110. C.	J20450IVL
Title:	"Reselection (	)ptimization Devices And		lobile erefor"	Wireless

## **SUBMISSION UNDER 37 CFR 1.312**

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, Virginia 22313

Sir:

Applicant:

## Reply to Examiner's Reasons For Allowance

The Examiner's Statement of reasons for allowance in the Office action mailed on 21 April 2008 is unusual and is believed to be unnecessary in light of the Decision of 28 March 2008 by the BPAI (Board) reversing the rejection of Claims 1, 8, 12, 15 and 18.

The Examiner's Statement characterizes the distinctions of the Claims over the prior art in terms not used by the Applicant or the Board. On pages 4 and 5 of the Decision, the Board noted as the bases for the reversal that the New does not make a signal measurement "at the same time that paging

Confirm. No. 6905 Examiner L. West

Appl. No. 10/074,970

Art Unit 2682

information is received" and that the signal received and measured in New

"... is not disclosed as containing paging information." The Examiner's

Statement should be disregarded to the extent that it interprets the claims

inconsistently with what was noted by the Board or the Applicants as

distinguishing over the prior art.

Respectfully submitted,

/ROLAND K. BOWLER II/

ROLAND K. BOWLER II 3 Jun. 2008

Reg. No. 33,477

Telephone No. (847) 523-3978

FACSIMILE NO. (847) 523-2350

MOTOROLA, INC.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT. (RKB) 600 NORTH U.S. HIGHWAY 45, W4-37Q

LIBERTYVILLE, ILLINOIS 60048